arXiv:1109.4991v1 [math.AG] 23 Sep 2011

Punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities of E_6 and E_8 types

Yoshiki SŌMA, Masahiro WATARI

Abstract

Pfister and Steenbrink studied Punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities. They investigated the structure of a special Punctual Hilbert scheme, which is called the Pfister-Steenbrink space in this paper, for monomial curve singularities. In the present paper, we study the structure of Punctual Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities of E_6 and E_8 types.

1 Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In this paper, we consider an irreducible curve singularity C whose local ring $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $k[[t^{a_1}, \ldots, t^{a_m}]]$ where $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by δ the δ-invariant of $\mathcal{O}$. In [2], Pfister and Steenbrink defined a special subset $\mathcal{M}$ of a certain Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}\big(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/I(2\delta)\big)$ which is called the *Pfister-Steenbrink space* for C. The elements of $\mathcal{M}$ are characterized by the property *goodness*. They studied the partition of $\mathcal{M}$ by its intersection with the Schubert cells corresponding to the natural flag. In particular, they investigated the structure of $\mathcal{M}$ for the irreducible curve singularities whose local rings have a certain semigroup, named a *monomial semigroup*. They also showed the existence of the punctual Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{M}_r$ of degree r which parametrize the ideals of codimension r in $\mathcal{O}$. It is realized as a connected component of $\mathcal{M}$. It was shown that $\mathcal{M}$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_r$ for $r \geq 2\delta$. For the precise definitions of above notions, see Section 2.

In the present paper, we study the structure of $\mathcal{M}_r$ $(1 \leq r \leq 2\delta)$ for irreducible curve singularities of E_6 and E_8 types. These are irreducible curve singularities whose local rings are isomorphic to $k[[t^3, t^4]]$ and $k[[t^3, t^5]]$ respectively. The defining equations for each $\mathcal{M}_r$ were computed in [3].

Theorem 1. *For the punctual Hilbert schemes $\mathcal{M}_r$ $(r = 1, \ldots, 6)$ for the irreducible plane curve singularity of E_6 type, we have*

(1) *$\mathcal{M}_1$ consists of a point,*

(2) *$\mathcal{M}_2$, $\mathcal{M}_3$ and $\mathcal{M}_4$ are nonsingular rational projective varieties,*

(3) $\mathcal{M}_5$ *is a singular rational projective variety,*

(4) $\mathcal{M}_6$ *is a singular reduced projective variety.*

Furthermore, the dimension of each $\mathcal{M}_r$ *is determined as in the following table*:

r	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\dim \mathcal{M}_r$	0	1	2	2	2	3

Theorem 2. *For the punctual Hilbert schemes* $\mathcal{M}_r$ $(r = 1, \cdots, 8)$ *for the irreducible plane curve singularity of* E_8 *type, we have*

(1) $\mathcal{M}_1$ *consists of a point,*

(2) $\mathcal{M}_2$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_3$ *are nonsingular rational projective varieties,*

(3) $\mathcal{M}_5$, $\mathcal{M}_6$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_8$ *are singular rational projective varieties,*

(4) $\mathcal{M}_4$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_7$ *are singular reduced projective varieties.*

Furthermore, the dimension of each $\mathcal{M}_r$ *is determined as in the following table*:

r	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\dim \mathcal{M}_r$	0	1	2	2	3	3	3	4

In Section 2, we briefly recall the Pfister-Steenbrink theory introduced in [2]. We also fix the notations and prove some lemmas needed later. In Section 3 and 4, we prove Theorem 1 and 2 respectively.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Fumio Sakai for his valuable advices and warm encouragement during the preparation of the present article. They would also like to thank Professor Matsuda for his useful advices.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we restrict ourselves to consider monomial curve singularities defined below. However, the notions will be explained in this chapter hold in more general situations. See [2] for details.

Definition 3. *A monomial curve singularity is an irreducible curve singularity whose local ring is isomorphic to* $k[[t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_m}]]$ *for some* $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4. *Without loss of generality, we may assume that* $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 1$ *in Definition 3.*

Let $\mathcal{O} = k[[t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_m}]]$ be the local ring of a monomial curve singularity C. Note that the normalization $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ is $k[[t]]$. We call the set $\Gamma := \{\mathrm{ord}(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}\}$ the *semigroup* of $\mathcal{O}$. The set $G := \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$ is called the *gap sequence* of Γ. For a natural number n, set $\overline{I}(n) := \{\mathrm{ord}(f) \mid f \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\}$ and $I(n) := \overline{I}(n) \cap \mathcal{O}$.

Setting $\mathrm{ord}(0) = \infty$, the set $\overline{I}(n)$ (resp. $I(n)$) becomes an ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}$). The positive integer $\delta := \dim_k(\overline{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{O}) = \sharp G$ is called the *δ-invariant* of $\mathcal{O}$. Set $\Gamma(I) := \{\mathrm{ord}(f) \mid f \in I\}$ and $G(I) := \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(I)$. We call $\Gamma(I)$ and $G(I)$ the *Γ-module* of I and the *gap sequence* of I respectively. We put $[a, b] := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} | \, a \leq x \leq b\}$ and $[a, \infty) := \{x \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} | \, x \geq 0\}$.

Definition 5. *An element V of $\mathrm{Gr}\left(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/\mathrm{I}(2\delta)\right)$ is called good, if the multiplication $\mathcal{O} \times V \ni (f, \overline{v}) \mapsto \overline{fv} \in V$ is defined and V is an $\mathcal{O}$-submodule with respect to this multiplication. For the local ring $\mathcal{O}$ of a monomial curve singularity C, define*

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{V \in \mathrm{Gr}\left(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/\mathrm{I}(2\delta)\right) \, \middle| \, V \text{ is good}\right\}.$$

For a positive integer r, we set

$$M_r := \{I | \, I \text{ is an ideal of } \mathcal{O} \text{ with } \dim_k \mathcal{O}/I = r\}.$$

Let ψ be the Plücker embedding from $\mathrm{Gr}\big(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/I(2\delta)\big)$ to $\mathbb{P}^N$ where $N = \binom{2\delta}{\delta} - 1$. For $r > 0$, Pfister and Steenbrink defined a map $\varphi_r : M_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $\varphi_r(I) = t^{-r}I/I(2\delta)$.

Theorem 6 ([2], Theorem 3)**.** *We have the following properties*:

(i) *The map φ_r is injective for any natural number r.*

(ii) *The map φ_r is bijective for $r \geq c$.*

(iii) *The image of M_r by $\psi \circ \varphi_r$ is a Zariski closed set of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Set $\mathcal{M}_r := \varphi_r(M_r)$. Since ψ is injective, we write $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_r$ for $\psi(\mathcal{M})$ and $\psi(\mathcal{M}_r)$.

Definition 7. *We call $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_r$ the Pfister-Steenbrink space (PS space) for C and the punctual Hilbert scheme of degree r for C respectively.*

The following fact follows from Theorem 6:

Corollary 8. *The punctual Hilbert scheme $\mathcal{M}_r$ with $r \geq 2\delta$ coincides with the PS space $\mathcal{M}$.*

The 2δ-dimensional k-vector space $\overline{\mathcal{O}}/I(2\delta)$ has the canonical flag

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_{2\delta} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}/I(2\delta)$$

where $V_i = \overline{I}(2\delta - i)/I(2\delta)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2\delta$. This induces a partition of $\mathrm{Gr}\big(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/I(2\delta)\big)$ into Schubert cells $W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta}$ for $\delta \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_\delta \geq 0$, defined by

$$W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta} = \left\{ \Lambda \in \mathrm{Gr}\big(\delta, \overline{\mathcal{O}}/I(2\delta)\big) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{ll} \dim(\Lambda \cap V_{\delta+i-a_i}) = i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \delta \\ \dim(\Lambda \cap V_j) < i & \text{for } j < \delta + i - a_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition 9. *For the Schubert cells, we have* $W_{b_1,\ldots,b_\delta} \subset \overline{W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta}}$ *if and only if* $b_i \geq a_i$ *holds for* $1 \leq i \leq \delta$.

For the details about Schubert cells, see [1, p.195].

Let Δ be a subset of $[0, 2\delta - 1]$ such that $\sharp\Delta = \delta$ and $\Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$ is a Γ-module. For $I \in M_r$, put $\Gamma_1(I) := \Gamma(I) - r = \{\gamma - r |\, \gamma \in \Gamma(I)\}$. Then we define $\mathcal{M}_r(\Delta)$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{M}_r$ parametrizing ideals I with $\Gamma_1(I) = \Delta \cup [2\delta, \infty)$.

The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 5 in [2].

Lemma 10. *Put* $\Delta = \{b_1, \ldots, b_\delta\}$ *where* $0 \leq b_1 < \cdots < b_\delta < 2\delta$. *Setting* $a_{\delta-i+1} = b_i - i + 1$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq \delta$, *we have* $\mathcal{M}_r(\Delta) = \mathcal{M}_r \cap W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta}$ *for* $r > 0$.

Proof. Under the same conditions, the relation $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) = \mathcal{M} \cap W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta}$ is known (See Lemma 5 in [2]). So we have $\mathcal{M}_r(\Delta) = \mathcal{M}_r(\Delta) \cap \mathcal{M}(\Delta) = \mathcal{M}_r \cap (\mathcal{M} \cap W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta}) = \mathcal{M}_r \cap W_{a_1,\ldots,a_\delta}$. ☐

We prove some lemmas needed later.

Lemma 11. *An ideal* I *of* $\mathcal{O}$ *belongs to* M_r *if and only if the relation* $\sharp G(I) = r$ *holds.*

Proof. We easily see that an ideal I belongs to $\mathcal{M}_r$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{O}/I = \left\{a_0 + a_1 t^{d_1} + \cdots + a_{\tau-1} t^{d_{r-1}} |\, a_i \in k, d_1 < \cdots < d_{r-1}\right\}$$

holds. The last condition is equivalent to $\sharp G(I) = r$. ☐

We denote by $J(S)$ the set of all ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ whose Γ-module is S.

Lemma 12. *For a given codimension* r, *there exists a finite number of* Γ-*modules* $S_1, \cdots, S_l$ *such that*

$$M_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} J(S_i). \tag{1}$$

Proof. For a given codimension r, there exists a finite number of sets of gap sequence $G_1, \cdots, G_l$ such that $\sharp G_i = r$ for $i = 1, \cdots, l$. Furthermore, the semigroup and the set of gaps of an ideal I are determined each other. So the desired decomposition (1) follows from Lemma 11. ☐

The following proposition follows from Lemma 12:

Corollary 13. *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \mathcal{M}_r(\Delta_i) \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_r(\Delta_i) = (\psi \circ \varphi_r)(J(S_i))$ *and* $\Delta_i = \{s - r |\, s \in S_i \text{ and } s - r < 2\delta\}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, l$.

It is known that

Proposition 14 ([2], Corollary of Theorem 11)**.** *Each component* $\mathcal{M}_r(\Delta_i)$ *of* $\mathcal{M}_r$ *in (2) is isomorphic to an affine space.*

Corollary 15. *If* $\mathcal{M}_r$ *is irreducible, then it is a rational projective variety.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1

We consider the E_6 type singularity in this section. Its local ring is $k[[t^3, t^4]]$. We get $\Gamma = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, \cdots\}$ and $G = \{1, 2, 5\}$. So we have $\delta = 3$ in this case. By Lemma 11, we determine the possible gap sequences of ideals for each codimension r as follows:

r	gap sequence
1	$G_{1,1} = \{0\}$
2	$G_{2,1} = \{0, 3\}$, $G_{2,1} = \{0, 4\}$
3	$G_{3,1} = \{0, 3, 4\}$, $G_{3,2} = \{0, 3, 6\}$, $G_{3,3} = \{0, 4, 8\}$
4	$G_{4,1} = \{0, 3, 4, 6\}$, $G_{4,2} = \{0, 3, 4, 7\}$, $G_{4,3} = \{0, 3, 4, 8\}$ $G_{4,4} = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$
5	$G_{5,1} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$, $G_{5,2} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 8\}$, $G_{5,3} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 9\}$ $G_{5,4} = \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8\}$
6	$G_{6,1} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8\}$, $G_{6,2} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9\}$, $G_{6,3} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10\}$ $G_{6,4} = \{0, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9\}$, $G_{6,5} = \{0, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11\}$

The Γ-modules $S_{r,i}$ corresponding to the gap sequences $G_{r,i}$ in the above table are determined as follows:

r	Γ-module
1	$S_{1,1} = \langle 3, 4\rangle$
2	$S_{2,1} = \langle 4, 6\rangle$, $S_{2,2} = \langle 3, 8\rangle$
3	$S_{3,1} = \langle 6, 7, 8\rangle$, $S_{3,2} = \langle 4, 9\rangle$, $S_{3,3} = \langle 3\rangle$
4	$S_{4,1} = \langle 7, 8, 9\rangle$, $S_{4,2} = \langle 6, 8\rangle$, $S_{4,3} = \langle 6, 7\rangle$, $S_{4,4} = \langle 4\rangle$
5	$S_{5,1} = \langle 8, 9, 10\rangle$, $S_{5,2} = \langle 7, 9\rangle$, $S_{5,3} = \langle 7, 8\rangle$, $S_{5,4} = \langle 6, 11\rangle$
6	$S_{6,1} = \langle 9, 10, 11\rangle$, $S_{6,2} = \langle 8, 10\rangle$, $S_{6,3} = \langle 8, 9\rangle$, $S_{6,4} = \langle 7, 12\rangle$, $S_{6,5} = \langle 6\rangle$

Here $\langle p_1, \cdots, p_s\rangle$ denotes the Γ-module generated by $p_1, \cdots, p_s$. It follows from the above datum that, for each r, we have the decomposition (1) with

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(S_{1,1}) = \{(t^3, t^4)\},\\
&J(S_{2,1}) = \{(t^3, t^6)\},\ J(S_{2,2}) = \{(t^3 + at^4, t^8)\},\\
&J(S_{3,1}) = \{(t^6, t^7, t^8)\},\ J(S_{3,2}) = \{(t^4 + at^6, t^9)\},\\
&J(S_{3,3}) = \{(t^3 + at^4 + bt^8, t^6 - a^2t^8)\},\\
&J(S_{4,1}) = \{(t^7, t^8, t^9)\},\ J(S_{4,2}) = \{(t^6 + at^7, t^8)\},\\
&J(S_{4,3}) = \{(t^6 + at^8, t^7 + bt^8)\},\ J(S_{4,4}) = \{(t^4 + at^6 + bt^9)\},\\
&J(S_{5,1}) = \{(t^8, t^9, t^{10})\},\ J(S_{5,2}) = \{(t^7 + at^8, t^9)\},\\
&J(S_{5,3}) = \{(t^7 + at^9, t^8 + bt^9)\},\\
&J(S_{5,4}) = \{(t^6 + at^7 + bt^8, t^{11})\},\\
&J(S_{6,1}) = \{(t^9, t^{10}, t^{11})\},\ J(S_{6,2}) = \{(t^8 + at^9, t^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{6,3}) = \{(t^8 + at^{10}, t^9 + bt^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{6,4}) = \{(t^7 + at^8 + bt^9, t^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{6,5}) = \{(t^6 + at^7 + bt^8 + ct^{11}, t^9 + (b - a^2)t^{11})\},
\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where $a, b, c \in k$. Now we put

$$\Delta_1 = \{2,3,5\},\ \Delta_2 = \{2,4,5\},\ \Delta_3 = \{1,4,5\},\ \Delta_4 = \{3,4,5\},\ \Delta_5 = \{0,3,4\}.$$

By Corollary 13, Lemma 10 and Proposition 9, we obtain the following:
Case $r = 1$: We have $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_1) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_1)} = \{\text{one point}\}$.
Case $r = 2$: We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_2 &= \mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_3) = \mathcal{M}_2 \cap (W_{3,3,2} \cup W_{3,3,1}) = \mathcal{M}_2 \cap \overline{W_{3,3,1}} \\ &= \overline{\mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_3)} \cong \mathbb{P}^1.\end{aligned}$$

Case $r = 3$: We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_3 &= \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_3) \cup \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_5) = \mathcal{M}_3 \cap (W_{3,3,3} \cup W_{3,3,1} \cup W_{2,2,0}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_3 \cap \overline{W_{2,2,0}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_5)} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_3)} \cup \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_5)\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_3)} \cap \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_5) = \emptyset$. It is easy to check that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_3)} = \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_3) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. So $\mathcal{M}_3$ is irreducible and nonsingular.
Case $r = 4$: We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_4 &= \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_4 \cap (W_{3,3,3} \cup W_{3,3,2} \cup W_{3,2,2} \cup W_{2,2,0}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_4 \cup \overline{W_{2,2,0}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5)} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1)} \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5)\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1)} \cap \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5) = \emptyset$. One can check by explicit computation that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1)} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. So $\mathcal{M}_4$ is irreducible and nonsingular.
Case $r = 5$: We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_5 &= \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_3) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_4) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_5 \cap (W_{3,3,3} \cup W_{3,3,2} \cup W_{3,2,2} \cup W_{3,3,1}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_5 \cap (\overline{W_{3,2,2}} \cup \overline{W_{3,3,1}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_1)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_3)}\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_5) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_1)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_3)} = \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_4) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_4)} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
Case $r = 6$: It follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_6 &= \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_3) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_5) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_6 \cap (W_{3,3,3} \cup W_{3,3,2} \cup W_{3,2,2} \cup W_{3,3,1} \cup W_{2,2,0}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}_6 \cup \overline{W_{2,2,0}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_5)},\end{aligned}$$

that $\mathcal{M}_6$ is irreducible. Furthermore, we see that

$$\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_6) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_1)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_3)} = \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_4) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_2)} \cong \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Pfister and Steenbrink also studied $\mathcal{M}_6$ for the E_6 singularity. See [2].
Note that the dimension of each $\mathcal{M}_r$ equals the maximal number of parameters contained in the decomposition (1). So the table in Theorem 1 follows from (3).

4 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we consider the E_8 type singularity. For its local ring $\mathcal{O} = k[[t^3, t^5]]$, we have $\Gamma = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 \cdots\}$ and $G = \{1, 2, 4, 7\}$. For this case, the δ-invariant δ is 4 and the possible gap sequences of ideals for each codimension r follows from Lemma 11.

r	gap sequence
1	$G_{1,1} = \{0\}$
2	$G_{2,1} = \{0, 3\}$, $G_{2,1} = \{0, 5\}$
3	$G_{3,1} = \{0, 3, 5\}$, $G_{3,2} = \{0, 3, 6\}$, $G_{3,3} = \{0, 5, 10\}$
4	$G_{4,1} = \{0, 3, 5, 6\}$, $G_{4,2} = \{0, 3, 5, 8\}$, $G_{4,3} = \{0, 3, 5, 10\}$ $G_{4,4} = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$
5	$G_{5,1} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8\}$, $G_{5,2} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9\}$, $G_{5,3} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 10\}$ $G_{5,4} = \{0, 3, 5, 8, 10\}$,$G_{5,5} = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$
6	$G_{6,1} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9\}$, $G_{6,2} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10\}$, $G_{6,3} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11\}$ $G_{6,4} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10\}$, $G_{6,5} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 12\}$, $G_{6,6} = \{0, 3, 5, 8, 10, 13\}$
7	$G_{7,1} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$, $G_{7,2} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11\}$ $G_{7,3} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12\}$, $G_{7,4} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11\}$ $G_{7,5} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13\}$, $G_{7,6} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12\}$
8	$G_{8,1} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$, $G_{8,1} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\}$ $G_{8,3} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13\}$, $G_{8,4} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12\}$ $G_{8,5} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14\}$, $G_{8,5} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13\}$ $G_{8,7} = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15\}$

The corresponding Γ-modules follows from the above table.

r	Γ-module
1	$S_{1,1} = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$
2	$S_{2,1} = \langle 5, 6 \rangle$, $S_{2,2} = \langle 3, 10 \rangle$
3	$S_{3,1} = \langle 6, 8, 10 \rangle$, $S_{3,2} = \langle 5, 9 \rangle$, $S_{3,3} = \langle 3 \rangle$
4	$S_{4,1} = \langle 8, 9, 10 \rangle$, $S_{4,2} = \langle 6, 10 \rangle$, $S_{4,3} = \langle 6, 8 \rangle$, $S_{4,4} = \langle 5, 12 \rangle$
5	$S_{5,1} = \langle 9, 10, 11 \rangle$, $S_{5,2} = \langle 8, 10, 12 \rangle$, $S_{5,3} = \langle 8, 9 \rangle$ $S_{5,4} = \langle 6, 13 \rangle$, $S_{5,5} = \langle 5 \rangle$
6	$S_{6,1} = \langle 10, 11, 12 \rangle$, $S_{6,2} = \langle 9, 11, 13 \rangle$, $S_{6,3} = \langle 9, 10 \rangle$, $S_{6,4} = \langle 8, 12 \rangle$ $S_{6,5} = \langle 8, 10 \rangle$, $S_{6,6} = \langle 6 \rangle$
7	$S_{7,1} = \langle 11, 12, 13 \rangle$, $S_{7,2} = \langle 10, 12, 14 \rangle$, $S_{7,3} = \langle 10, 11 \rangle$, $S_{7,4} = \langle 9, 13 \rangle$ $S_{7,5} = \langle 9, 11 \rangle$, $S_{7,6} = \langle 8 \rangle$
8	$S_{8,1} = \langle 12, 13, 14 \rangle$, $S_{8,2} = \langle 11, 13, 15 \rangle$, $S_{8,3} = \langle 11, 12, 15 \rangle$, $S_{8,4} = \langle 10, 14 \rangle$ $S_{8,5} = \langle 10, 12 \rangle$, $S_{8,6} = \langle 9 \rangle$, $S_{8,7} = \langle 8 \rangle$

Same as the E_6 type case, we obtain the decomposition $M_r = \cup_i J(S_{r,i})$ $(r = 1, \ldots, 8)$ in Lemma 1 where

$$\begin{aligned}
&J(S_{1,1}) = \{(t^3, t^5)\},\\
&J(S_{2,1}) = \{(t^5, t^6)\},\ J(S_{2,2}) = \{(t^3 + at^5, t^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{3,1}) = \{(t^6, t^8, t^{10})\},\ J(S_{3,2}) = \{(t^5 + at^6, t^9)\},\\
&J(S_{3,3}) = \{(t^3 + at^5 + bt^{10}, t^6 - a^2t^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{4,1}) = \{(t^8, t^9, t^{10})\},\ J(S_{4,2}) = \{(t^6 + at^8, t^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{4,3}) = \{(t^6 + at^{10}, t^8 + bt^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{4,4}) = \{(t^5 + at^6 + bt^9, t^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{5,1}) = \{(t^9, t^{10}, t^{11})\},\ J(S_{5,2}) = \{(t^8 + at^9, t^{10}, t^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{5,3}) = \{(t^8 + at^{10}, t^9 + bt^{10})\},\\
&J(S_{5,4}) = \{(t^6 + at^8 + bt^{10}, t^{13})\},\\
&J(S_{5,5}) = \{(t^5 + at^6 + bt^9 + ct^{12}, t^{10} - a^2t^{12})\}\\
&J(S_{6,1}) = \{(t^{10}, t^{11}, t^{12})\},\ J(S_{6,2}) = \{(t^9 + at^{10}, t^{11}, t^{13})\},\\
&J(S_{6,3}) = \{(t^9 + at^{11}, t^{10} + bt^{11})\}, J(S_{6,4}) = \{(t^8 + at^9 + bt^{10}, t^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{6,5}) = \{(t^8 + at^9 + bt^{12}, t^{10} + ct^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{6,6}) = \{(t^6 + at^8 + bt^{10} + ct^{13}, t^9 + (b - a^2)t^{13})\},\\
&J(S_{7,1}) = \{(t^{11}, t^{12}, t^{13})\},\ J(S_{7,2}) = \{(t^{10} + at^{11}, t^{12}, t^{14})\},\\
&J(S_{7,3}) = \{(t^{10} + at^{12}, t^{11} + bt^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{7,4}) = \{(t^9 + at^{10} + bt^{11}, t^{13})\},\\
&J(S_{7,5}) = \{(t^9 + at^{10} + bt^{13}, t^{11} + ct^{13})\},\\
&J(S_{7,6}) = \{(t^8 + at^9 + bt^{10} + ct^{12})\},\\
&J(S_{8,1}) = \{(t^{12}, t^{13}, t^{14})\},\ J(S_{8,2}) = \{(t^{11} + at^{12}, t^{13}, t^{15})\},\\
&J(S_{8,3}) = \{(t^{11} + at^{13}, t^{12} + bt^{13})\},\ J(S_{8,4}) = \{(t^{10} + at^{11} + bt^{12}, t^{14})\},\\
&J(S_{8,5}) = \{(t^{10} + at^{11} + bt^{14}, t^{12} + ct^{14})\},\\
&J(S_{8,6}) = \{(t^9 + at^{10} + bt^{11} + ct^{13})\},\\
&J(S_{8,7}) = \{(t^8 + at^9 + bt^{10} + ct^{12} + dt^{15}, t^{11} + at^{12} + (c + a^2b - b^2)t^{15},\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad t^{13} + (b - a^2)t^{15})\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in k$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_1 = \{2, 4, 5, 7\},\ \Delta_2 = \{3, 4, 6, 7\},\ \Delta_3 = \{1, 4, 6, 7\},\ \Delta_4 = \{3, 5, 6, 7\}\\
&\Delta_5 = \{2, 5, 6, 7\},\ \Delta_6 = \{0, 3, 5, 6\},\ \Delta_7 = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 13, Lemma 10 and Proposition 9, we obtain the following:
Case $r = 1$: We have $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_1) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_1)} = \{\text{one point}\}$.

Case $r = 2$: We see that

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_2)\cup\mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_3) = \mathcal{M}_2\cap(W_{4,4,3,3}\cup W_{4,4,3,1}) = \mathcal{M}_2\cap\overline{W_{4,4,3,1}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_3)}.$$

Furthermore, Since we have $\mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_2) \cap \mathcal{M}_2(\Delta_3) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{M}_2$ is irreducible and nonsingular.
Case $r = 3$: Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_3 &= \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_5) \cup \mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_6) = \mathcal{M}_3 \cap (W_{4,4,4,3} \cup W_{4,4,4,2} \cup W_{3,3,2,0})\\ &= \mathcal{M}_3 \cap \overline{W_{3,3,2,0}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_3(\Delta_6)}\end{aligned}$$

and , $\mathcal{M}_3$ is irreducible and nonsingular.
Case $r = 4$: We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_4 &= \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_7) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_3)\\ &= \mathcal{M}_4 \cap (W_{4,4,4,4} \cup W_{4,4,4,2} \cup W_{4,3,3,2} \cup W_{4,4,3,1})\\ &= \mathcal{M}_4 \cap (\overline{W_{4,3,3,2}} \cup \overline{W_{4,4,3,1}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_3)}.\end{aligned}$$

We also see that $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_4) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_1)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_3)} = \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_7) \cup \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_5)}$ is a projective line. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_4$ is irreducible and has a singular locus.
Case $r = 5$: Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_5 &= \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_7) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_3) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_6)\\ &= \mathcal{M}_5 \cap (W_{4,4,4,4} \cup W_{4,4,4,3} \cup W_{4,4,3,3} \cup W_{4,4,3,1} \cup W_{3,3,2,0})\\ &= \mathcal{M}_5 \cap (\overline{W_{3,2,2,0}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_6)}\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_5) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_1)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_3)} = \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_4) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_5(\Delta_4)}$, $\mathcal{M}_5$ is reduced and singular.
Case $r = 6$: Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_6 &= \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_7) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_5) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_6)\\ &= \mathcal{M}_6 \cap (W_{4,4,4,4} \cup W_{4,4,4,3} \cup W_{4,4,3,3} \cup W_{4,4,4,2} \cup W_{4,3,3,2} \cup W_{3,3,2,0})\\ &= \mathcal{M}_6 \cup \overline{W_{3,2,2,0}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_6)},\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{M}_6$ is irreducible. Furthermore, we see that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_2)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_5)} = \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_7) \cup \mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_4) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_6(\Delta_4)}$ is a projective line. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_6$ is singular.
Case $r = 7$: It follows from the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_7 &= \mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_7) \cup \mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_5) \cup \mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_3)\\ &= \mathcal{M}_7 \cap (W_{4,4,4,4} \cup W_{4,4,4,3} \cup W_{4,4,3,3} \cup W_{4,4,4,2} \cup W_{4,3,3,2} \cup W_{4,4,3,1})\\ &= \mathcal{M}_7 \cup (\overline{W_{4,3,3,2}} \cup \overline{W_{4,4,3,1}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_1)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}_7(\Delta_3)},\end{aligned}$$

that $\mathcal{M}_7$ is reduced and singular.

Case $r = 8$: It follows from the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_8 &= \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_8) \cup \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_4) \cup \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_2) \cup \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_5) \cup \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_1) \cup \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_3) \cup \mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_6)\\ &= \mathcal{M}_8 \cap (W_{4,4,4,4} \cup W_{4,4,4,3} \cup W_{4,4,3,3} \cup W_{4,4,4,2} \cup W_{4,3,3,2} \cup W_{4,4,3,1} \cup W_{3,3,2,0})\\ &= \mathcal{M}_8 \cup (\overline{W_{3,3,2,0}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_6)},\end{aligned}$$

that $\mathcal{M}_8$ is irreducible. Furthermore, it was known that $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{M}_8) = \overline{\mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_2)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}_8(\Delta_5)}$ (see [2]).
The tabel in Theorem 2 follows from the number of parameters in (4).

References

[1] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Wiley, New York, (1978).

[2] G. Pfister, J.H.M. Steenbrink, Reduced Hilbert schemes for irreducible curve singularities. J. Pure and Applied Algebra. **77**, 103-116, (1992).

[3] Y. Sōma, Hilbert scheme of r-points for the simple plane curve singularities, (in Japanese) Master Thesis, Saitama university, (2010).

Yoshiki Sōma
Chōfu Minami Metroporitan High School
6-2-1 Tamagawa
Chōfushi Tokyo 182-0025 Japan.

Masahiro Watari
Division of General Subject
Tsuyama National College of Technology
624 Numa
Tsuyamashi Okayama 708-8509 Japan.
E-mail: milnorandtjurina@yahoo.co.jp